PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1 - 1	olicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
414	4 <i>/</i> 04396					
		International filing dat 04.02.2005	International filing date (day/month/year) 04.02.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.02.2004		
IN	mational Patent Classification (IPC) of A61H1/02 A61H3/00 A63B2		IPC			
RE	ABILITY INC. et al.		<u>.</u>			
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2.	This REPORT consists of a tot	al of 7 sheets, including	this cover sheet.	·		
3.	This report is also accompanie	d by ANNEXES, compris	sing:			
	a. 🗵 sent to the applicant an	d to the International Bu	reau) a total of 5 she	eets, as follows:		
	Sheets of the description and/or sheets contained and the contained are also below the contained are also below to the cont	en amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the				
				considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
		tables related thereto, in	electronic form only,	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a as indicated in the Supplemental Box nstructions).		
4.	This report contains indications	relating to the following	items:			
<u> </u>	⊠ Box No. I Basis of the	eport				
	☐ Box No. II Priority		•	•		
	Box No. III Non-establisi	nment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention				
		atement under Article 35 citations and explanation		velty, inventive step or industrial atement		
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docu	ments cited				
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	cts in the international ap	plication			
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	rvations on the internation	onal application			
Date	e of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
	a of Suprimodoff of the Contains					
16.02.2006			11.05.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international			Authorized officer	- 4-1-		
preliminary examining authority:				The state of the s		
	D-80298 Munich	inere como d	Elmar Fischer			
	Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	soce epinu a	Telephone No. +49	89 2399-7290		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000137

_	Box No. I Basis of the report			
1.	. With regard to the language, thi	s report is based on		
	■ the international application	in the language in which it was filed		
	of a translation furnished for international search (und publication of the internation	onal application into , which is the language r the purposes of: der Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4(a)) examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))		
2.	With regard to the elements* of have been furnished to the receive report as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):		
	Description Descri			
	Description, Pages			
	1-27	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-49	filed with telefax on 30.04.2006		
Drawings, Sheets				
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☑ The amendments have result	ılted in the cancellation of:		
-	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. 50-52			
-	the drawings, sheets/figs			
	the sequence listing (specific any table(s) related to se			
4.	☐ This report has been establi had not been made, since they had not been made. ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).		
	the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (spe	ecify):		
	* If item 4 applies, so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000137

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an invent obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 		e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application,		
	×	claims Nos. 38-49		
	bec	ause:		
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):		
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).		
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 38-49		
-		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:		
		In furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b) and 13 <i>ter</i> .2.		
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
		See separate sheet for further details		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000137

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-37

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-37

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-37

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 38-49 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT, namely to methods for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i)).

In particular, independent method claim 38 includes medical treatment steps like coupling a patient to a rehabilitation system and performing a rehabilitation activity, the purpose and inevitable effect being therapeutic, namely rehabilitation of lost limb control.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

I. Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: JP 02 102652 A;

D1': PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 014, no. 306 (C-0735), 3 July 1990 (English abstract of D1);

D2: US-A-5 397 865;

D3: US-A-5 846 086;

D4: EP-A-0 304 538;

D5: JP 2002 127058 A;

D5': PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2002, no. 09, 4 September 2002 (English abstract of D5);

D6: JP 2003 164544 A;

D6': PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no. 10, 8 October 2003 (English abstract of D6).

II. Requirements of Article 6 PCT - Clarity

1. According to claim 1, the rehabilitation apparatus comprises a sensor adapted to sense a movement of a motion support element which latter is adapted to support

PCT/IL2005/000137

a motion of a part of a human, and a controller adapted to modify a generator provided rhythmic audio in accordance with the sensed movement. As a consequence, it is not clear how such an apparatus could be suitable for a patient who is completely unable to control the limb, and thus to actively move the limb, as mentioned in the description, page 11, lines 1, 2.

Further, several examples mentioned in the description do not necessarily comprise an audio generator, or do not correlate audio and movement, and thus are not covered by independent claim 1: See e.g. page 10, lines 15, 16; page 18, lines 10-12; page 24, lines 1-10 (correlation between movement and "points" instead of audio).

2. According to claim 1, the controller is adapted to control the generator of audio to generate rhythmic audio timed to a stored desired movement. However, it is neither clear if the features "correctness" and "error" as mentioned in claims 13-17 are related to that stored desired movement (see especially claim 15: is the "stored plan" identical to the "stored desired movement"?), nor which additional structural features (cf. first sentence of Rule 6.4(a) PCT) of the apparatus should be defined by these claims.

A plurality of dependent claims is totally vague and thus likewise leaves the reader in doubt which additional structural features are intended to be claimed. Further, numerous claims attempt to define the invention in terms of a result to be achieved, rather than defining the structural technical features that are necessary to achieve the stated result (Article 6 PCT; PCT International Search and Preliminary Examination Guidelines 5.35). See especially claims 20-29, 31, 34.

III. Requirements of Article 33(2), (3) PCT - Novelty / Inventive step

1. Document D6, which is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, discloses (see esp. Figs. 1, 2) (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A rehabilitation apparatus, comprising: at least one motion support element (handle (6)) adapted to support a motion of a part of a human;

at least one sensor adapted to sense a movement and generate a movement signal of said at least one motion support element (force sensor at the lower end of (6), see [0013], [0014]);

a generator of audio (see [0017]); and,

a controller in communication with said generator and said at least one sensor, said controller adapted to:

control said generator of audio to generate rhythmic audio timed to a stored desired movement of said human (see [0012], [0017], claim 1); and receive said sensed movement signal from said at least one sensor.

Due to the provision that the controller is adapted to modify the generator provided rhythmic audio in accordance with said sensed movement signal, the rehabilitation effect of the apparatus is improved.

In the apparatus known from D6 the sensor is used only to start movement of the apparatus in the back or forth direction. Once the patient is walking, walk is continued with the help of rhythmic audio. However, the rhythm of the audio is not changed. On the contrary, even if the patient applies a much stronger force on the handle, the fixed speed of the apparatus, which is set beforehand, is maintained (see e.g. claim 1 and [0014]). Thus, D6 teaches away from the claimed subject-matter. The other available prior art likewise does not contain any hint to provide a controller that is adapted to modify the rhythmic generator provided audio in accordance with the sensed movement signal.

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claim 1 is considered not only as being novel (Article 33(2) PCT), but also as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

 Claims 2-37 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.